EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - CFCS02 20/21

De	cision								
ı	Title of decision: Public Spaces Protection Order Control of Dogs 2020								
2	Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Sally Haydon Report author and contact details: Lindsay McClean								
3									
4	Decision to be taken:								
	That the current Public Spaces Protection Order – Control of Dogs 2017 is renewed and amended								
	2. That the current restrictions are retained in a new PSPO – Control of Dogs 2020								
	3. That a new requirement for a dog owner/walker to have the means to pick up after their dog is included								
	4. That the restriction for dogs to be kept on a lead in Area A in Central Park is included (see appendix 2 of briefing report)								
	5. That the restriction for dogs to be kept on a lead on the new crematorium site at Haye Road when it opens is included								
	6. That the wording relating to when a dog owner/walker may be ordered to put a dog on a lead by an Authorised Officers is amended								
5	Reasons for decision:								
	The current Public Spaces Protection Order – Control of Dogs 2017 expires on the 19th October 2020. A PSPO needs to be reviewed every 3 years in order to extend or vary it.								
	A consultation process has been undertaken to explore the views of statutory consultees and the public in relation to dog control in Plymouth. This decision is to agree a new PSPO with specific additional controls.								
6	Alternative options considered and rejected:								
	I. No changes to the current restrictions								
	2. The removal of all current restrictions								

7	Financial implications: Additional signage will be required in areas where new restrictions are introduced. It is expected that this will be in the region of £2,000.							
8	Is the decision a Key Decision?	4	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
	(please contact <u>Democratic Suppor</u> for further advice)			in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total				
				in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million				
				is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.				
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions							
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:		Reducing dog fouling is a priority of the Council.					
			Having areas where dog controls are in place improves the safety of all users of the parks and open spaces within the city.					
			Enforcement of these offences is essential to encourage compliant behaviour by dog owners/walkers.					
			If the PSPO – Control of Dogs is not renewed, these offences will not be able to be enforced in Plymouth.					
		to m	The renewal of the PSPO – Control of Dogs will continue to make dog fouling and not complying with dog control measures an offence.					
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)							
Urge	ent decisions							
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the	Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)				
	public?		X	(If no, go to section 13a)				
I 2a	Reason for urgency:							

I2b	Cha	itiny ir ature:			Date						
		itiny nmittee ie:									
	Prin	Print Name:									
Consultation											
13a			Cabinet members'	Yes	X						
	porti	olios affe	cted by the decision?	No		(If no go to section 14)					
I3b			Cabinet member's ected by the decision?	Councillor Sue Dann Councillor Peter Smith							
I3c	Date Cabinet member consulted				30.06.20						
14			net member declared a erest in relation to the	Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer					
	decis			No	X						
15	Which Corporate Management			Name		Ruth Harrell					
	ı ean	n membe	r has been consulted?	Job title		Director of Public Health					
					ted	August 2020					
Sign	-off										
16	_		from the relevant consulted:		cratic Si latory)	DS32 20/21					
				Financ	e (mano	djn.20.21.89					
				Legal	(mandat	34759/ag/16.9.2020					
				Huma	n Resou						
				Corpo	rate pro able)						
				Procu	rement						
Appendices											
17	Ref.	Title of appendix									
	Α	Briefing re									
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment									
	С	PSPO Cor	nsultation								
	D	Map Central Park									

	E	Map New Crematorium											
	F	Map of dog fouling and dog control FPNs											
	G	Results of consultation											
Con	fidentia	al/exe	empt information										
18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?			Yes		br	If yes, prepare a second, confidential briefing report and indicate why it is publication by virtue of Part Tof Sche				ot for		
				No	X	of	of the Local Government Act 1972 by the relevant box in 18b below.						
						(Keep as much information briefing report that will be domain)							
						Exemption Paragraph Number							
				ı		2	3	4	5	6	7		
18b	Confi title:	denti	al/exempt briefing report										
Back	cgroun	d Pap	pers										
19	Please	list al	l unpublished, background pape	rs relev	ant 1	o the	decision	in the tal	ole below	' .			
	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.										e/all of		
	Tit	le of	background paper(s)			Exe	emption	Paragra	aph Nur	nber			
				ı		2	3	4	5	6	7		
Cabi	inet M e	embe	r Signature										
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.												
Sign	Signature S. Haydon.			Date	Date of decision				17/09/2020				
Prin	t Nam	е	e Councillor Sally Haydon										